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#### Abstract

Complexes of the type $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-furan)], where $\mathrm{Tp}=$ hydridotris(pyrazolyl)borate and L $=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ (1) or ${ }^{\text {t}} \mathrm{BuNC}$ (2), undergo dipolar cycloadditions with TCNE (tetracyanoethylene) to afford 7-oxabicycloheptene complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, respectively. The corresponding 2-methylfuran complexes (5 and 7) for these $L$ ligands give similar methyloxabicycloheptene complexes ( 6 and 8 ), with a diastereomer ratio >20:1 for 8 . For $L=N$-methylimidazole (Melm, 9 ), TCNE oxidizes the complex, but cycloadditions can be achieved with DMAD (dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate) as the electrophile. Three complexes are observed: one in which DMAD undergoes a cycloaddition with the carbonyl ylide form of the complex (10C), and two complexes that are coordination diastereomers where DMAD has undergone a formal [2+2] cycloaddition with the uncoordinated double bond of the $4,5-\eta^{2}$-furan ligand (10B and 10A). With the imidazole complex of 2-methylfuran (11), only the [2+2] products (12B and 12A) are observed. In the case of the 2,5 -dimethylfuran complex ( $L=$ Melm, 13), which is formed as a single coordination diastereomer, only one [2+2] product is observed (14), the structure of which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. Oxidative decomplexation of 14 results in liberation of the free oxabicyclo[3.2.0]heptadiene 15 , which can be thermally converted to the corresponding oxepin 16 in $70 \%$ yield.


## Introduction

Furans undergo [4+2] cycloaddition reactions (Diels-Alder) with a variety of dienophiles to generate the oxabicycloheptene core. ${ }^{1}$ However, the aromaticity of furan as well as the strain of the resulting [2.2.1] bicyclic ring system often require the use of high pressures and/or temperatures to allow such reactions to proceed at appreciable rates. ${ }^{2}$ For pyrroles, [4+2] cycloaddition is even more difficult than for furan owing to the increased aromatic character of the heterocycle. ${ }^{3}$ In 1989, Taube et al. discovered that $\eta^{2}$-coordination of pyrrole by the $\pi$ base pentaammineosmium(II) dramatically increased the rate of the cycloaddition reaction between pyrrole and maleic anhydride. ${ }^{4}$ This surprising observation was proposed to occur by way of a transient intermediate in which the metal bound the pyrrole across C3 and C4, rendering the uncoordinated portion of the heterocycle similar to an azomethine ylide. This [3+2] cycloaddition reaction has been utilized in the efficient synthesis of several 7-azanorbornenes. ${ }^{3,5}$ In contrast, the furan complex of pentaammineosmium(II) shows no reactivity with maleic anhydride over a 10 day period $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, an observation that was ascribed to the decreased stability of the corresponding carbonyl ylide complex. ${ }^{4}$
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We recently reported a detailed study on the rates and mechanisms of linkage isomerization processes in rhenium(I) $\eta^{2}$-aromatic complexes, ${ }^{6}$ in which we observed intrafacial migration (ring walk) of the $\{\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\}$ fragment $(\mathrm{L}=$ ${ }^{t}$ BuNC, $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, pyridine, or N -methylimidazole (abbreviated MeIm)) coordinated to furan and $N$-methylpyrrole ( $\mathrm{L}=$ MeIm only). We demonstrated that this process occurred through a transient intermediate in which the metal was bound $3,4-\eta^{2}$, similar to that observed for the pentaammineosmium(II) system. ${ }^{7}$ A recent communication from our group demonstrated that the cycloaddition of dimethyl fumarate with the $\{\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})-$ (MeIm) \} complex of N -methylpyrrole occurs at a rate significantly faster than that observed for osmium(II). ${ }^{8}$ This increase in rate is consistent with $\{\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\}$ fragments being more electron rich than the similar $\left\{\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right\}^{2+}$ fragment. We postulated that the more electron-rich rhenium systems could raise the energy of the HOMO for the putative $3,4-\eta^{2}$-furan intermediate, a carbonyl ylide, to such an extent that a dipolar cycloaddition reaction would become accessible.
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Scheme 1. Syntheses of 7-Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptene Complexes and Their Oxidation to Enedials




Results
The complexes $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\left(2,3-\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-furan) $]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(\mathbf{1})\right.$, ${ }^{t} \operatorname{BuNC}(\mathbf{2})$ ) were found to undergo [3+2] cycloaddition reactions with tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) to generate oxabicycloheptene complexes 3 ( $73 \%$ ) and 4 ( $66 \%$ ), respectively (Scheme 1). The reactions proceed quickly, with no starting material present after the reaction is allowed to proceed for less than $5 \mathrm{~min}([\mathrm{Re}]=$ $[$ TCNE $]=\sim 20 \mathrm{mM}$ ). These complexes show infrared absorptions at 1845 (3) and 1869 (4) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{II}) /(\mathrm{I})$ reduction potentials at $E_{1 / 2}=0.92$ (3) and 0.94 (4) V (NHE), consistent with $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{I})$-alkene complexes that are more electron deficient than ethylene complexes of the same metal fragments. ${ }^{9}$ Although the nitrile stretching absorptions are too weak to be observed in the infrared spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$, the nitriles are accounted for in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum by signals near 129 ppm , in addition to bridgehead carbons near 95 ppm . For 4, bridgehead carbons appear near 94 and 93 ppm , but nitrile carbon signals are too weak to be readily observed. For comparison purposes, the cycloaddition reaction was repeated with TCNE and free furan at four times the concentrations used with rhenium. No cycloadduct was observed after 2 days, even after heating to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To our knowledge, the uncomplexed [2.2.1] oxabicycloheptene, resulting from a Diels-Alder reaction between furan and TCNE, has not been reported in the literature.

In contrast to simple alkene complexes of $\{\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\}$ systems, the tetranitrile cycloadducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are extremely air
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Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of enedial complex 4D ( $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids shown). Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(16)$ 2.202(2), $\mathrm{Re}-$ $\mathrm{C}(17) 2.205(2), \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17) 1.445(3), \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(19) 1.465(3), \mathrm{C}(16)-$ $\mathrm{C}(18) 1.451(3), \mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(19) 1.216(3), \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18) 1.219(3)$.
sensitive. Upon brief exposure to air, these cycloadducts convert into the corresponding $C, C-\eta^{2}$-(Z)-2-butenedial complexes 3D and 4D, whether in solution or solid state. Key features of the oxidized products include two aldehyde signals in both the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The structure of complex 4D was confirmed by growing a single crystal from an acetone solution of the complex layered over water (Figure 1). The structure shows that the alkene is orthogonal to the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{CO}$ bond, and the two aldehydes are held in a nearly coplanar arrangement $\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.$ dihedral angles are 162 and $168^{\circ}$ ). The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds have been lengthened and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds shortened by about $0.02 \AA$ each, relative to those values expected for the uncomplexed dial. ${ }^{10}$ These structural changes in 4D, along with the observations that the rhenium CO stretching frequency (1864 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and the $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{II}) /(\mathrm{I})$ reduction potential $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=1.16 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ for 4D are significantly higher than those values for $[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})$ $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{BuNC}\right)\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-ethylene $\left.)\right],{ }^{9}$ indicate a greater degree of metalligand $\pi$ back-bonding in this complex. While the stoichiometry and mechanism of the formation of 4D are unclear, the overall process of treating the bound furan with TCNE followed by exposure to air is to oxidize the bound furan ligand, presumably by the following relationship:


Monitoring the conversion of $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{3 D}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR with an internal standard indicates that the conversion is virtually quantitative. The oxidation of furan to butenedial is well-known for a variety of different oxidants, including $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and more recently dimethyldioxirane. ${ }^{11}$

With use of the 2-methylfuran complexes of the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ and ${ }^{t}$ BuNC systems (complexes 5 and 7, respectively), cycloadducts resulting from the $[3+2]$ cycloaddition with TCNE are also observed ( $\mathbf{6}$ and 8, Scheme 2). Because the methyl group originating from the furan differentiates the bridgehead carbons,

[^1]Scheme 2. Syntheses of 1-Methyl-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptene Complexes and Their Oxidation

two diastereomers of the cycloadduct complex are observed for both 5 and 7 when each is reacted with TCNE.

For $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, the furan complex (5) exists in a 2.1:1 equilibrium ratio of coordination diastereomers ( $\mathbf{5 A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{5 B}$ ), where the major isomer has the oxygen in quadrant A (see Scheme $1)$. Both $\mathbf{5 A}$ and $\mathbf{5 B}$ react with $\mathrm{TCNE}(\sim 20 \mathrm{mM}$ of each) to generate methyloxabicycloheptene complexes $\mathbf{6 A}$ and $\mathbf{6 B}$ in a ratio of 1.8:1. These products differ in the location of the bridgehead methyl group with respect to the ligand configuration about the metal. NOE data indicate that complex 6A has the methyl group oriented toward the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand (quadrant D), ${ }^{9}$ while complex 6B has the methyl group oriented away from the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand (quadrant A ). The same ratio of $\mathbf{6 A : 6 B}$ (1.8:1) is observed if the reaction is repeated at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or if it is carried out under more dilute conditions $([5]=[T C N E]=\sim 1.7 \mathrm{mM})$.

Combining complexes 6A and 6B (in a 1.8:1 ratio) with an equivalent amount of the furan complex $\mathbf{1}(\sim 20 \mathrm{mM})$ for 24 h $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ results in a ratio of $\sim 12.5: 1$ for $(\mathbf{6} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{6 B}): 3$, the ratio of $\mathbf{6 A}$ and $\mathbf{6 B}$ remaining approximately constant (1.9:1). This observation indicates that a retrocycloaddition is operative and that its half-life at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is on the order of 200 h . Allowing the 2-methylfuran complex 5 to react with 0.4 equiv of TCNE gives the same ratio of $\mathbf{6 A}: 6 \mathrm{~B}$ as when 1 equiv of TCNE is used. When an equimolar mixture of the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ furan (1) and 2-methylfuran (5) complexes was treated with 0.25 equiv of TCNE, a 1:1.1 ratio of cycloadducts $(\mathbf{6 A}+\mathbf{6 B}): \mathbf{3}$ was observed, indicating that the rate of cycloaddition is similar for furan and 2-methylfuran complexes.

For $\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$, the 2-methylfuran complex 7 exists as a 4.9:1 ratio of coordination diastereomers (7A:7B), where the major diastereomer has the oxygen in quadrant A (see Scheme 1). ${ }^{6}$ Complex 7 reacts with TCNE $([\operatorname{Re}]=[\mathrm{TCNE}]=\sim 32 \mathrm{mM})$ to generate methyloxabicycloheptene complexes $\mathbf{8 A}$ and $\mathbf{8 B}$ (Scheme 2), which differ solely in the placement of the
bridgehead methyl group relative to the ligand set about the metal. However, in contrast (vide supra) to $\mathbf{6 A}$ and $\mathbf{6 B}$, the ratio observed for $\mathbf{8 A}: \mathbf{8 B}$ is $27: 1$. Similar to the phosphine complexes described above, the ratio of $\mathbf{8 A}: \mathbf{8 B}$ is unchanged when the reaction is performed at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, repeating this reaction at 6 mM TCNE (still 1 equiv) resulted in a ratio of $43: 1$ of $\mathbf{8 A}: \mathbf{8 B}$, and repeating this reaction with 20 mM rhenium and 0.44 equiv of TCNE increases the ratio of $\mathbf{8 A}: \mathbf{8 B}$ to $75: 1$. NOE data indicate that the major isomer ( $\mathbf{8 A}$ ) has the methyl group oriented toward the isonitrile ligand.

When an equimolar mixture of the isonitrile furan (2) and 2-methylfuran (7) complexes was treated with 0.25 equiv of TCNE, a 1.4:1 ratio of cycloadducts $(\mathbf{8 A}+\mathbf{8 B}): \mathbf{4}$ was observed, indicating that the rate of cycloaddition is similar for furan and 2-methylfuran complexes. When a solution of $\mathbf{8 A}$ and $\mathbf{8 B}$ is mixed with $2(\sim 20 \mathrm{mM}$ each $)$ and allowed to stir for 24 h , the ratio of $(\mathbf{8 A}+\mathbf{8 B}): 4$ is found to be $\sim 130: 1$, indicating that the half-life for the retrocycloaddition is about 1600 h . Thus, for the ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC} / 2$-methylfuran system, a large kinetic bias exists for the coordination diastereomer with the methyl group oriented toward the ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$ ligand ( $\mathbf{8 A}$ ).

To better establish the role that the electronic properties of the metal play in the cycloaddition reaction, an equimolar mixture of the furan complex $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ and furan complex $\mathbf{2}\left(\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}\right)$ was treated with 0.22 equiv of TCNE. The ratio of $\mathbf{3 : 4}$ was found to be $6.2: 1$. Thus, under identical reaction conditions, phosphine complex 1 reacted with TCNE at a rate approximately 6 times faster than did the isonitrile analogue $\mathbf{2}$. Like their des-methyl counterparts, cycloadducts 6 and $\mathbf{8}$ are readily oxidized in air to give oxoenal complexes $\mathbf{6 C}$ and $\mathbf{6 D}$, and 8 C and 8 D , respectively.

Complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were found to be unreactive toward cycloaddition with dipolarophiles that are less reactive than TCNE (e.g., $N$-methylmaleimide, maleic anhydride, DMAD (dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate)). However, we were pleased to find that the more electron-rich furan complex $[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})$ (MeIm)( $\eta^{2}$-furan)] (9) does react with DMAD (Scheme 3). While the reaction was slow at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}([\mathrm{Re}]=20 \mathrm{mM}$, [DMAD] $=80 \mathrm{mM}$ ), heating an acetonitrile solution of 9 and DMAD at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h resulted in the formation of three products, in a ratio of 18:1:10 (10C:10B:10A). Repeating this reaction at 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ does not significantly change this ratio, but repeating it at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 10 mM LiOTf resulted in a ratio of $\mathbf{1 0 C}:(\mathbf{1 0 A}+\mathbf{1 0 B})$ of $1.3: 1$. The major product $\mathbf{1 0 C}$ is a 7 -oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptadiene, the product of the desired dipolar cycloaddition reaction. Through a variety of NMR experiments, the minor products $\mathbf{1 0 B}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 A}$ were found to be the result of a formal $[2+2]$ cycloaddition between DMAD and the uncoordinated double bond of the $4,5-\eta^{2}$-furan ligand of 9 . The two 2-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene products are coordination diastereomers, differing only by which face of the vinyl ether is bound by the rhenium.

Heating an equimolar mixture of complex 9 and free furan at $55-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (each $\sim 10 \mathrm{mM}$ ) with 0.5 equiv of DMAD reveals a ratio of 10 C to the uncomplexed furan/DMAD cycloadduct of $40: 1$ (along with $\mathbf{1 0 B}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 A}$ ) at 13 h and again at 30 h . Further heating resulted in the disappearance of the organic cycloadduct, presumably due to a retrocycloaddition at these elevated temperatures.

Scheme 3. The Reaction of the Furan-Imidazole Complexes 9 and 11 with DMAD



Using [TpRe(CO)(MeIm)(4,5- $\eta^{2}$-2-methylfuran)] (11) instead of the furan complex 9 under the same concentrations as in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 0}$ results exclusively in the formation of [3.2.0] cycloadducts 12B and 12A in a 1:1 ratio (Scheme 3). No dipolar cycloadducts are observed. In addition, the reaction involving 11 proceeds under milder conditions than the similar reaction of 9 . Whereas the reaction between DMAD and 9 has a halflife of approximately 7 h at $55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction between DMAD and $\mathbf{1 1}$ has a half-life of less than 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}([\mathrm{Re}]=$ 20 mM ).

The complex $\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\left(4,5-\eta^{2}-2,5-\right.\right.$ dimethylfuran)] (13) was prepared by a method analogous to that used in the synthesis of 11. In contrast to the corresponding furan and 2-methylfuran complexes, $\mathbf{1 3}$ is present as a single coordination diastereomer in solution. The absent coordination diastereomer would have to place a methyl group in quadrant C , where it is expected to have a destabilizing steric interaction with the pyrazolyl ring trans to the CO. ${ }^{12}$ To confirm the coordination stereochemistry of 13, a crystal structure determination was carried out, and the ORTEP drawing is presented in Figure 2. As expected, the C5 methyl group projects into quadrant $B$. Like its pentaammineosmium(II) analogue, $\mathbf{1 3}$ has a shorter metal-carbon bond length for the bound $\alpha$ carbon $(\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ in Figure 2, 2.216(2) $\AA$ ) than for the bound $\beta$ carbon (2.188(2) $\AA$ ), and it has an unbound $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond length (1.333(3) $\AA$ ) that is shorter than that for the free ligand. ${ }^{10}$ Also of note, the
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Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of 2,5-dimethylfuran complex 13 ( $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids shown). Selected bond distances (A): Re-C(1) 2.216(2) $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2) 2.188(2), \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.432(3), \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4) 1.462(3)$, $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) 1.333(3), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3): 1.386(3), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.440(3)$.
Scheme 4. Synthesis of a 2-Oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene from Furan Complex 13


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { only diastereomer } \\
& \text { observed }
\end{aligned}
$$


imidazole ring, which typically is nearly coplanar with the rhenium and CO ligand, is somewhat canted in the 2,5dimethylfuran structure, with a $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ dihedral angle of $40^{\circ}$.

The 2,5-dimethylfuran complex $\mathbf{1 3}$ reacts with DMAD in a manner similar to that of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to generate one diastereomer of the [3.2.0] cycloadduct complex (14, Scheme 4). A single crystal of this complex was grown by layering an acetone solution over water. X-ray analysis of the resulting crystal confirmed the identity of 14, the ORTEP of which appears in Figure 3. As expected, the rhenium is bound to the exo face of the bicylic structure, with the oxygen oriented toward quadrant A. The C5C6 bond length of $1.338 \AA$ in the cyclobutene ring is consistent with that of a double bond. ${ }^{10}$

Allowing complex $\mathbf{1 4}$ to stir in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with 2 equiv each of AgOTf and 2,6-lutidine resulted in decomplexation of the organic ligand, 15 (isolated yield: 43\%). Although the 2-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene is stable at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, heating an NMR solution of 15 at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days resulted in the formation of oxepin 16 (70\%).

## Discussion

Cycloaddition reactions involving electron deficient alkenes are often promoted by Lewis acidic transition metal complexes,


Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of 2-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene complex 14 ( $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids shown). Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ 2.182(4), $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ 2.162(4) $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.425(5), \mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ 1.338(5), $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4) 1.430(5), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.444(4)$.
in which the metal serves to lower the energy of the alkene LUMO. ${ }^{13,14}$ For the reactions described herein, the metal is an electron donor. By disrupting the aromatic character of furan through $\pi$ back-bonding, ${ }^{15,16}$ the rhenium transforms furan into either a vinyl ether or a carbonyl ylide. Directly comparing the reactivity of these rhenium furan complexes with organic furans or dihydrofurans illustrates this effect. The rhenium furan and 2-methylfuran complexes ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5}$, and $\mathbf{7}$ ) undergo cycloadditions with TCNE that are essentially complete in $<5 \mathrm{~min}(20 \mathrm{mM}$; $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The analogous reaction with furan shows no sign of any product after 48 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similarly, a competition experiment shows that the reaction of DMAD and the rhenium furan complex 9 to form the 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptene 10C proceeds at a rate that is $>40$ times faster than the cycloaddition of DMAD with furan itself $\left(60 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

We believe that the $[2+2]$ cycloaddition reaction between DMAD and $2,3-\eta^{2}$-furan complexes occurs through a stepwise mechanism in which the furan complex first adds to DMAD in a Michael fashion, followed by closure of the enolate on C2 of the 3 H -furanium intermediate to generate the cyclobutene ring. This reaction does not occur without the metal, as it is preempted by a $[4+2]$ cycloaddition reaction. Comparison of the reactivity of the rhenium-furan complexes $\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 1}$, and $\mathbf{1 3}$ with various dihydrofurans proves to be useful. Whereas the [2+2] cycloaddition reaction with furan complex 9 and DMAD occurs at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(80 \mathrm{mM}$ DMAD, $30 \mathrm{~h}, 38 \%)$, the reaction with 2,3dihydrofuran requires refluxing toluene $\left(110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 39 \%\right) .{ }^{17}$ Similarly, while the reaction of the 2-methylfuran complex 11 and DMAD was complete after 4 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mM}, 50 \%)$, the same reaction with 4,5-dihydro-2-methylfuran required 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(41 \%)$ (concentrations for these organic reactions were not published, but are likely to be higher than those used herein).

[^3]The closest analogy to the reactivity of the rhenium furan complex 9 may be silyl ketene acetals, which undergo [2+2] cycloadditions with DMAD at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of hours. ${ }^{14}$ In this regard, the $\eta^{2}$-bound rhenium (where $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{MeIm}$ ) has a $\pi$ donating ability roughly equivalent to a siloxy group.

Adjusting the auxiliary ligand $(\mathrm{L})$ of the $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\left(\eta^{2}-\right.\right.$ furan)] systems from ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$ to MeIm renders the metal more reducing by about 0.5 V . Employing a more electron-rich metal has the predicted effect of increasing the rate of both the $[2+2]$ and $[3+2]$ cycloaddition reactions of the bound furan. For the reaction with TCNE, replacing the ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$ ligand of 2 with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ (1) results in a 6 -fold increase in the rate of [3+2] cycloaddition. Such a reaction is not observed with DMAD unless L = MeIm. In this same vein, the $[2+2]$ cycloaddition reaction with DMAD is not observed for the less electron-rich phosphine and isonitrile systems (1, 2, 5, and 7). Of note, neither a $[2+2]$ nor a $[3+2]$ cycloaddition product is formed between DMAD and the pentaammineosmium(II) complex of furan at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 15 h ; decomposition). This observation supports the notion that the $\{\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\}$ system is more electron donating than its osmium(II) predecessor.

We have extensively investigated the rates and mechanisms of the isomerization of aromatic molecules bound to the $\{\mathrm{TpRe}-$ (CO)(L) \} fragment $\left(\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right.$, pyridine, or N methylimidazole). ${ }^{6}$ Intrafacial isomerizations (ring walks) were observed in which the metal fragment remained coordinated to the same face of an aromatic ligand but migrated to the uncoordinated double bond. These linkage isomerizations occur in furans, thiophenes, naphthalenes, and other $\eta^{2}$-bound aromatic molecules. In the case of furan, migration of the metal fragment from the 2,3 -position to the 3,4 -position would render the uncoordinated portion of the ring a carbonyl ylide, although we have never been able to spectroscopically observe such a species. In that study, however, hydrogenation of $[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})$ -$\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-furan)] over $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ yielded significant amounts of the 2,5-dihydrofuran complex, our first clue to the fact that carbonyl ylides may be kinetically accessible under typical reaction conditions. Although the observations reported herein strongly support a mechanism involving 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of the $3,4-\eta^{2}$ furan isomer with dipolarophiles, an alternative explanation involving an oxygen-bound intermediate may also be considered. By inductive arguments, the $\sigma$-withdrawing rhenium could lower the aromatic character of the furan and activate it toward a $[4+2]$ cycloaddition.


Although this mechanism cannot be categorically eliminated, we point out that while ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spin transfer data support the presence of a $3,4-\eta^{2}$ intermediate along the intrafacial isomer-

Scheme 5. Michael Addition/1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Reaction Manifold for $\eta^{2}$-Furan Complexes


Michael Addition
Dipolar Cycloaddition
ization pathway for furan complexes, the type of spin transfer associated with a transient $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}$-bound furan species was not observed. ${ }^{6}$ Furthermore, activation of furan through oxygen coordination would likely be enhanced by the more electrondeficient metal systems, but the opposite trend is observed.

The reactions of DMAD with the imidazole-furan complexes $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 1}$, and 13) provide information concerning the $[2+2]$ and [3+2] reaction manifold. This manifold can be viewed as a competition between a dipolar cycloaddition of a $3,4-\eta^{2}$ furan complex and a Michael reaction of the more stable but less reactive $2,3-\eta^{2}$ isomer (Scheme 5). We have extensively investigated this reaction manifold for osmium(II) pyrrole complexes and shown that the Michael addition pathway was favored by stabilizing the zwitterionic intermediate, either through solvent interactions, Lewis acids, or functional group effects. ${ }^{5}$ In contrast, the [3+2] cycloaddition was favored by increasing the stability of the $3,4-\eta^{2}$ isomer relative to the 4,5$\eta^{2}$ isomer, which could be achieved by placing methyl groups at both the 2 and 5 positions of the heterocycle. Indeed, when the reaction of the furan complex $9(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{MeIm})$ and DMAD is carried out in the presence of lithium triflate, the ratio of $[2+2]$ to $[3+2]$ cycloaddition products increases. Equally significant, the presence of a methyl group at C2 apparently sufficiently stabilizes the zwitterionic intermediate $\left(\mathbf{1 2 B}_{\mathbf{z}}\right.$, Scheme 3) through hyperconjugation so that the dipolar cycloaddition pathway is completely pre-empted by the Michael addition. Apparently this stabilization is so great that destabilizing the $4,5-\eta^{2}$ isomer with a second methyl group does not change the reaction outcome.

When the furan ligand is asymmetrically substituted, two coordination diastereomers are possible for the [3+2] cycloaddition reaction. The oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptene complexes formed from 2-methylfuran complexes and TCNE ( $\mathbf{6}$ and 8) can have the methyl group (i.e., in 13) oriented toward (quadrant D) or away from (quadrant A) the auxiliary ligand (see Scheme 1). Referring to Scheme 2, the final stereochemistry of the cycloadduct is determined by the orientation of the methyl group for the carbonyl ylide intermediate. The only way for these ylides to interconvert is to undergo an interfacial isomerization (face flip), either directly or via their $4,5-\eta^{2}$ isomers. The coordination diastereomer ratios for the products ( $\mathbf{6 A}: 6 \mathrm{~B}$ or $\mathbf{8 A}$ : $\mathbf{8 B})$ will be related to the ratio of the carbonyl ylides $(\mathbf{5} * \mathbf{A}: \mathbf{5}$ * $\mathbf{B}$ or $7 * \mathbf{A}: 7 * \mathbf{B})$ and to the relative rates of cycloaddition of these intermediates with TCNE. For $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$, the major ylide diastereomer is that in which the methyl group is oriented toward the auxiliary ligand ( L ), and in both cases, the major
isomer for the furan precursor $(\mathbf{5 A}, \mathbf{7 A})$ is related to this major intermediate $\left(\mathbf{5}^{*} \mathbf{A}, 7 * \mathbf{A}\right)$ by an intrafacial isomerization. However, whereas the ratio for $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ cycloadducts ( $\mathbf{6 A : 6 B}=$ 1.8:1) is approximately equal to that of the starting furan complex, this ratio for $\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}(\mathbf{8 A}: 8 \mathrm{~B})$ is $27: 1$ and this increases to $43: 1$ if the concentration of TCNE is lowered. The following discussion offers a possible explanation consistent with these observations.

Competition experiments indicate that $k_{\text {obs }}$ for cycloaddition is about 6 times faster for $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(\mathbf{1})$ than for $\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$ (2). The rate of retrocycloaddition of $\mathbf{6}$ is about 8 times faster than that for $8\left(t_{1 / 2}=200 \mathrm{~h}\right.$; cf., 1600 h$)$. Thus, $K_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) \sim$ $0.75 K_{\mathrm{eq}}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{BuNC}\right)$, and there is practically no difference in $\Delta G^{\circ}$ for these two reactions as a function of L (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). However, the free energy of activation for the $2,3-\eta^{2}$ to $4,5-\eta^{2}$ intrafacial isomerization (ring walk) is about $3.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher for $\mathrm{L}=$ $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ compared to $\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$, assuming that there is not a strong temperature dependence on $\Delta G^{\ddagger}, 6$ and this barrier is taken to be essentially the same for the $4,5-\eta^{2}$ to $3,4-\eta^{2}$ isomerization. While formation of the carbonyl ylide is slower for $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ than for $\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$, the cycloaddition step is expected to be faster, on the grounds that the rhenium-phosphine system is more electron-rich. When $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, it is likely that intrafacial isomerization to the carbonyl ylide is the rate-limiting step. If the rates of ylide formation and cycloaddition are similar (vide infra), the diastereomer ratio for furan coordination (2.1:1) would be similar to the cycloadduct dr (1.8:1). For $\mathrm{L}={ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{BuNC}$, this condition apparently does not hold. Now both intra- and interfacial (face flip) linkage isomerizations are faster, ${ }^{6}$ and the cycloaddition of the carbonyl ylide is expected to be slower, perhaps becoming rate limiting. The two carbonyl ylides can now equilibrate with each other and their more stable $4,5-\eta^{2}$ isomers. For $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, formation of either carbonyl ylide isomer $\left(\mathbf{5}^{*} \mathbf{A}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{5}^{*} \mathbf{B}\right)$ is expected to result in steric strain between the furan methyl group and either the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand or the pyrazole ring trans to it. However, when $\mathrm{L}={ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}$, conversion of $\mathbf{7 A}$ to $7 * \mathbf{A}$ requires moving the methyl group into quadrant D which, in contrast to the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ complex $\mathbf{5}$, does not result in a significant steric strain. ${ }^{6}$ Thus, not only is $\mathbf{7 A}$ favored over 7B, but its conversion to $7 * \mathbf{A}$ is expected to be much faster than the intrafacial isomerization of $\mathbf{7 B}$ to $\mathbf{7 * B}$. If cycloaddition is sufficiently slow such that the carbonyl ylides are able to equilibrate, then the ratio of furan complexes 7A and 7B would be irrelevant and the ratio of $\mathbf{7 *} \mathbf{A}$ to $7 * \mathbf{B}$, which is expected to heavily favor $\mathbf{7 *}^{*} \mathbf{A}$, would determine the ratio of the cycloadducts. Recall that lowering the concentration of TCNE from 32 to 6 mM increased the ratio of the cycloadducts from 27:1 to $43: 1$ (7A:7B), and using less than 1 equiv increased the ratio to 75:1.

Regarding the stereochemistry of the [2+2] cycloaddition, an interesting comparison can be made between the ratios of the oxabicyclo[3.2.0]heptadiene complexes 10B and 10A and that of their methylated analogues ( $\mathbf{1 2 B}$ and 12A, Scheme 3). Whereas the cycloaddition takes place with high stereocontrol ( $\mathbf{1 0 A}: 10 B=10: 1$ ) for the furan complex 9 , the 2-methylfuran complex 11 reacts much faster, and with complete loss of stereocontrol (12A:12B =1:1). Two scenarios could explain this. Previous studies have shown that a substituent in a vicinal relationship to a bound alkene has a significant steric interaction with the pyrazolyl ring trans to the auxiliary ligand (quadrant
A). ${ }^{6,12}$ Thus, assuming that the Michael addition step forming the zwitterion is endothermic, by the Hammond postulate, the specific rate of the Michael reaction for isomer 10A is expected to be faster than that for isomer 10B, which reflects the differences in stability of the zwitterionic intermediates $\mathbf{1 0 B}_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 A}_{\mathbf{z}}$ (Scheme 3). Addition of a methyl group is expected to stabilize the zwitterionic intermediate $\left(\mathbf{1 2 B}_{\mathbf{z}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{1 2 A}_{\mathbf{z}}\right)$ via hyperconjugation, making the Michael addition much faster to 11 than to 9 , as is observed. With an interfacial isomerization (face flip) barrier of $\sim 23 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, it is possible that the rate of face flipping for $\mathbf{1 1}$ becomes slower than the rate of the Michael addition under experimental conditions. Since the system cannot respond to the depletion of furan isomer 11A, the coordination diastereomers ( $\mathbf{1 2 B}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 A}$ ) are formed in approximately the same ratio as their 2-methylfuran precursors 11B and 11A. Alternatively, it is possible that the C2 methyl group of $\mathbf{1 1}$ stabilizes the Michael addition to the point where the reaction is close to thermoneutral and the Hammond postulate no longer applies. In other words, there is not enough character of $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{z}}$ or $\mathbf{1 2 A}_{\mathbf{z}}$ in the transition state to provide good discrimination between products. To differentiate these two scenarios, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with DMAD was repeated under identical reaction conditions to those reported above, but with only 0.3 equiv of DMAD. Under these conditions, the ratio of 12A to 12B improves to $1.9: 1$, indicating that $\mathbf{1 1 A}$ is more reactive than 11B.

The 2,5-dimethylfuran complex $\mathbf{1 3}$ exists as one diastereomer due to the propensity for the metal fragment to coordinate trisubstituted olefins diastereoselectively. ${ }^{12}$ Consequently, one product (14) is formed from reaction with DMAD (Scheme 4). Spectroscopic features of $\mathbf{1 4}$ are very similar to $\mathbf{1 2 A}$, consistent with a formal $[2+2]$ cycloaddition between DMAD and the uncoordinated portion of the $2,3-\eta^{2}$-furan ligand. The structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was confirmed by X-ray crystallography to be the formal $[2+2]$ cycloadduct (Figure 3). Oxidative decomplexation of the organic ligand (15) was achieved by using AgOTf and 2,6lutidine in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Proton and carbon spectra of $\mathbf{1 5}$ were consistent with the proposed structure. Demonstration of this decomplexation is significant in that 2-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta3,6 -dienes are readily converted to functionalized oxepins, ${ }^{18}$ which are of interest to both synthetic and physical chemists. ${ }^{17,19}$ Indeed, heating 15 results in its conversion to oxepin 16 (Scheme 4). There is no method presently available to form oxepins directly from furans, except under photochemical conditions, where irradiation experiments typically require longer times than those used herein and result in lower yields. ${ }^{20}$

One final point should be raised. TCNE is a powerful oneelectron oxidant, and its role as a redox catalyst for linkage isomerizations or a reaction through a radical-based mechanism should not be dismissed. The reduction potential for the phosphine complex (1) is $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.30 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{NHE})$, while that of the isonitrile complex is $0.47 \mathrm{~V} .{ }^{21}$ The $E_{1 / 2}$ for the $0 /-1$ reduction potential for TCNE is 0.40 V in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. This suggests that outer-sphere electron transfer could easily occur, especially with the more electron-rich $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ system. For $\mathrm{L}=$ MeIm, rapid oxidation of the rhenium occurs and no cycloadduct is observed.

[^4]In studies with $\eta^{2}$-aromatic complexes of pentaammineosmium(II), rapid increases in linkage isomerization rates upon oneelectron oxidation of the metal were observed. ${ }^{22}$ It is therefore possible that the linkage isomerization rate for rhenium $-\eta^{2}$ furan complexes in the presence of TCNE is much faster than what we have observed in the absence of a one-electron oxidant.

## Conclusions

The complexation of furans or alkylated furans with an electron-rich rhenium complex of the form $\{\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{L})\}$ ( $\mathrm{L}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuNC}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, or $N$-methylimidazole) activates the heterocycles to either $[2+2]$ or $[3+2]$ cycloaddition reactions, depending on the position of the ring that is coordinated. When the metal binds across C 4 and C 5 , the uncoordinated ring resembles a vinyl ether and undergoes $[2+2]$ cyclizations with DMAD. However, when the metal binds the 3,4-positions of the furan, the uncoordinated portion of the ring reacts like a carbonyl ylide and undergoes [3+2] cycloaddition. For both modes of reactivity, the metal accelerates the reaction compared to that of organic analogues. Moderate to high degrees of selectivity can be achieved provided that reaction conditions are chosen such that equilibration between intrafacial and interfacial linkage isomers is maintained.

## Experimental Section

General. All reactions were performed in a Vacuum Atmospheres Co. glovebox. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova-300, Varian Inova-500, or GN-300 spectrometer at room temperature unless otherwise noted. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to TMS (tetramethylsilane) with residual protonated solvent (acetonitrile- $d_{2}=\delta 1.94 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as an internal standard. Two-dimensional NMR experiments (gDQCOSY, gHSQC, nOe) were recorded on a Varian Inova-300 or a Varian Inova-500 spectrometer. Electrochemical experiments were performed under nitrogen with a PAR model 362 potentiostat driven by a PAR model 175 universal programmer. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded (Kipp \& Zonen BD90 XY recorder) in a standard three-electrode cell from +1.7 to -1.7 V utilizing a glassy carbon electrode. All potentials are reported versus NHE and, unless otherwise noted, were determined in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(\sim 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate) at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ with colbaltocenium hexafluorophosphate ( $E_{1 / 2}=-0.78 \mathrm{~V}$ ) in situ as a calibration standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a MIDAC Prospect (Model PRS) spectrometer as a glaze using a Horizontal Attenuated Total Reflectance Accessory (HATR, Pike Industries). High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) was performed by the UIUC (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign) School of Chemical Science. Elemental analyses were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer PE-2400 Series II CHN analyzer or by Atlantic Microlabs (Norcross, GA). Due to the rapid conversion of TCNE cycloadducts to their corresponding dial or aldehyde ketone complexes, we were unable to obtain satisfactory elemental analyses on some compounds. This was further frustrated by not knowing all of the decomposition products (particularly with respect to the TCNE portion). Samples isolated as cycloadducts routinely gave analysis results consistent with the spectroscopically observed decomposition product.

Solvents and Reagents. All solvents were purified via distillation under nitrogen or passage through an activated alumina column under inert atmosphere and degassed prior to use. ${ }^{23}$ Acetonitrile- $d_{3}$ (Cambridge Isotope Labs) was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under an inert atmosphere prior to use. 2,5-Dimethylfuran was purchased from Acros Organics and
(22) Hodges, L. M.; Spera, M. L.; Moody, M.; Harman, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7117.
(23) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518.
filtered through an activated alumina plug immediately prior to use. The syntheses of complexes $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9}$, and $[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})-$ ( $\eta^{2}$-benzene) $]^{16,21,24,25}$ have been previously reported.
$\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(5,6-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- 7 - o x a - b i c y c l o}[2.2 .1]\right.\right.$ hept-5-ene-2,2,3,3tetracarbonitrile) $]$ (3). $\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(4,5-\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-furan $\left.)\right](1,0.105 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.184 mmol ) was dissolved in 3 mL of THF. Tetracyanoethylene ( 0.024 $\mathrm{g}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.03$ equiv) in 0.5 mL of THF was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 min . The reaction mixture was added to 50 mL of hexanes, and the slurry was filtered through a fine frit. The solid was washed with hexanes and dried in vacuo to afford 93 $\mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of a green solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.33(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.30(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.25(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5)$, 1.82 (dd, $J=6.9,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 1.29 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 197.6(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 147.8,146.1,140.4,138.1$, 137.5, 136.5 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), 107.9, 107.3, 107.2 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), $129.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 129.2(2 \mathrm{C})(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 107.61,107.51,107.06(\mathrm{Tp}$ 4 positions), 94.8 (C4), 94.5 (C1), 47.3 (C6), 46.6 (d, $J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C5), $16.2 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=33.9 \mathrm{~Hz})(\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ not seen $) . E_{1 / 2}=0.92 \mathrm{~V} . \mathrm{IR}$ (HATR): $1845\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}}\right), 2487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{10^{-}}$ $\mathrm{BO}_{2}$ PRe: C, 39.49; H, 3.31; N, 20.02. Found: C, 39.0; H, 3.48; N, 19.77.
[TpRe(CO)(PMe ${ }_{3}$ )(2,3- $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}$-(Z)-but-2-enedial)] (3D). An NMR solution $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3}$ was exposed to air. After a few days, the solution was found to consist entirely of complex 3D. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta$ $9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 9.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.37(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 3.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.7,8.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.76 (ddd, $J=8.6,8.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.13 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=$ $\left.9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 199.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHO})$, 199.6 (CHO), 147.8, 146.1, 141.5, 138.7, 137.8, 137.5 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), 108.4, 107.5, 106.8 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), $65.5(\mathrm{C} 3), 63.3$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2), 13.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=34.8 \mathrm{~Hz})(\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ not seen). $E_{1 / 2}=1.05$ V. IR (HATR): $1653\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 1839\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}}\right), 2491$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$.
$\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{BuNC}\right)\left(5,6-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-7\right.\right.$-oxa-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2,2,3,3tetracarbonitrile) $]$ (4). $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}\right)\left(4,5-\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-furan) $](2,0.098 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.170 mmol ) was dissolved in 3 mL of THF. Tetracyanoethylene ( 0.023 $\mathrm{g}, 0.181 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.06$ equiv) in 0.5 mL of THF was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 min . The reaction mixture was added to 50 mL of hexanes, and the slurry was filtered through a fine frit. The solid was washed with hexanes and dried in vacuo to afford 79 $\mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of a green solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,0.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.37(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 6.33(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.26(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 2.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 1.51 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 146.3$ (2C), 141.0, 137.1, 136.6 (2C) (Tp 3 and 5 positions), $113.07(4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 107.61,107.51,107.06$ (Tp 4 positions), 93.88 ( C 4$), 93.32(\mathrm{C} 1), 49.68(\mathrm{C} 6), 47.24(\mathrm{C} 5), 31.02 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}, C \equiv \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$ not seen). $E_{1 / 2}=0.94 \mathrm{~V}$ (quasireversible). IR (HATR): $1869\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv 0}\right), 2138\left(\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}}\right), 2489 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)\right.$.
[TpRe(CO) $\left.{ }^{\boldsymbol{t}} \mathbf{B u N C}\right)\left(\mathbf{2 , 3}-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$-(Z)-but-2-enedial)] (4D). An NMR solution $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4}$ was exposed to air. After a few days, the solution was found to consist primarily of complex 4D. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta$
(24) Gunnoe, T. B.; Sabat, M.; Harman, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6499.
(25) Meiere, S. H.; Brooks, B. C.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Sabat, M.; Harman, W. D. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1038.
$9.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 9.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.14$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.34(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 6.28(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.28(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H3), $1.42 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuNC}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 197.9(\mathrm{CHO})$, $196.2(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O})$, 196.1 ( CHO ), 147.0, 146.0, 142.1, 137.7, 137.4, 137.1 ( Tp 3 and 5 positions), 108.0, 107.4 (2C) ( Tp 3 and 5 positions), 65.0 $(\mathrm{C} 3), 62.3(\mathrm{C} 2), 30.7 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ not seen $) . E_{1 / 2}=1.16$ V. IR (HATR): $1657\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 1864\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}}\right), 2492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{\mathrm{BH}}\right), 2164$ $\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{BO}_{3} \mathrm{Re}: \mathrm{C}, 38.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.90 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.49$. Found: C, 38.16; H, 3.90; N, 16.49.
$\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathbf{P M e}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{4 , 5 - \boldsymbol { \eta }} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{2 - m e t h y l f u r a n}\right)\right]$ (5). This complex was synthesized by using a previously published procedure, ${ }^{24}$ except that 2-methylfuran was substituted for furan. Two diastereomers were isolated in a 2.1:1 ratio, with the major diastereomer having the oxygen of the heterocycle oriented toward the $\mathrm{CO} /$ pyrazole quadrant (see Scheme 1). Yield: $66 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.6-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}$ 3 and 5 positions), 7.17 (dd, $J=15.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, minor HC5), $6.4-$ $6.2(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp} 4$ positions), 5.99 (dd, $J=2.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major HC3), 5.87 (dd, $J=4.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major HC5), $5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, minor HC3), 4.41 (ddd, $J=10.7,4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major HC4), 2.97 (m, 1H, minor HC4), $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, major $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, minor $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}\right.$, minor $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right), 1.38 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, major $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 150-135(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp} 3$ and 5 positions), $107-105$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp} 4$ positions), 151.4 (minor C2), 148.0 (major C 2 ), 111.4 (minor C3), 111.1 (major C3), 102.2 (major C5), 99.1 (m, minor C5), 53.3 (minor C4), 50.9 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, major C4), 17.1 (d, $J=$ 32.2 Hz , major $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ), $16.8 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d}, J=32.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, minor $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right), 15.6$ (minor $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 13.3 (major $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) $\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}\right.$ not seen). $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=1.19 \mathrm{~V}, E_{1 / 2}=$ 0.29 V (quasi-reversible). IR (HATR): $1823\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv 0}\right), 2479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{BO}_{2}$ PRe: C, 36.93; H, 4.30; N, 14.36 . Found: C, 37.22; H, 4.22; N, 14.16.
[ $\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathbf{C O})\left(\mathbf{P M e}_{3}\right)\left(5,6-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-4-m e t h y l-7-o x a-b i c y c l o[2.2 .1] h e p t-5-\right.$ ene-2,2,3,3-tetracarbonitrile)] (6A and 6B). To a solution of 5 (0.057 $\mathrm{g}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 g of THF was added TCNE ( $0.013 \mathrm{~g}, 0.105 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.08 equiv) in 0.5 g of THF. The resulting solution was stirred for 5 min and then added to 50 mL of stirring hexanes. The slurry was filtered through a fine frit and the resulting blue solid washed with hexanes and dried in vacuo. Yield: $46 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$. Two diastereomers were observed in a $1.8: 1(\mathbf{6 A : 6 B})$ ratio (major has methyl toward $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ). 6A: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.36(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.31(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 6.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 1)$, 3.02 (dd, $J=13.1,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.93$ (dd, $J=$ $6.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 6), 1.21 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 198.6(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 146.6,146.2,139.9,138.4,137.4,138.8$ (Tp 3 and 6 positions), $113.0(4 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 108.2$, 107.7, 107.3 (Tp 4 positions), $101.67(\mathrm{C} 4), 92.91(\mathrm{C} 1), 52.0(\mathrm{C} 6), 49.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C5), $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.6 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d}, J=34.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{C} 2$ and C 3 not seen). 6B: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 8.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.24(\mathrm{~m} 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 1), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 6), 1.13 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 198.4(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 148.4,147.4$, $140.6,138.1,138.0,136.8$ (Tp 3 and 5 positions), $1136(4 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}), 108.2$, $107.7,107.3(\mathrm{Tp} 4$ positions), $101.7(\mathrm{C} 1), 93.5(\mathrm{C} 4), 50.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5), 48.4(\mathrm{C} 6), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.6 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d}, J=34.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$. Data for 6A and 6B: $E_{1 / 2}=0.91$ V. IR (HATR): $1845\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 2489$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(v_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BN}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ PRe: C, $40.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.53$; N, 19.63. Found: C, 40.41 ; H, 3.81; N, 19.21 .
$\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(2,3-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.\right.$-(Z)-4-oxo-pent-2-eneal)] (6C and 6D). An NMR solution of complexes $\mathbf{6 A}$ and $\mathbf{6 B}$ was exposed to air and allowed to stand for several days. Two diastereomers were observed in a 3.2:1 (6C:6D) ratio (major has ketone toward $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ). 6C: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.35$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.12(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}$ ), 4.32 (dd, $J=9.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 3$ ), 2.53 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.48 (ddd, $J=10.1,8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 2$ ), $1.12 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}) .6 \mathrm{D}$ (selected resonances): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta$ 9.92 (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 1.40 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$. Data for 6 C and 6D: $E_{1 / 2}=0.84 \mathrm{~V}$ (quasireversible). IR (HATR): 1847 ( $v_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ ), $2494 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$.
[TpRe(CO) $\left.{ }^{\text {( }} \mathbf{B u N C}\right)\left(5,6-\eta^{2}-4-m e t h y l-7-o x a-b i c y c l o[2.2 .1] h e p t-5-~\right.$ ene-2,2,3,3-tetracarbonitrile)] (8A and 8B). [TpRe(CO)('BuNC)(4,5-$\eta^{2}$-2-methylfuran)] ( $7,0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1 g of THF. To this solution was added TCNE ( $0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in approximately 0.5 g of THF. The solution was allowed to stir for 5 min and added to 50 mL of stirring hexanes. The slurry was filtered through a fine frit, washed with hexanes, and dried in vacuo. Yield: $41 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$. Two diastereomers were observed by NMR in a 27:1 ( $\mathbf{8 A}: \mathbf{8 B}$ ) ratio. $\mathbf{8 A}$ has bridgehead methyl toward isonitrile. 8A: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.36(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.33(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 6.26(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 1), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5), 2.11$ (s, 3H, CH3 ), 2.04 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 6$ ), $1.45 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 197.4(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 146.4$, 146.2, 140.8, 137.2, 136.9, 136.9 ( Tp 3 and 5 positions), 112.8 ( $4 \mathrm{C} \equiv$ N ), 107.7, 107.3 (2C) (Tp 4 positions), 101.4 (C4), 92.5 (C1), 52.8 (C6), 50.57 (C5), 31.8 ( C 3 ), 30.7 (tBu), $18.2 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{C} 2$ not seen). 8B (selected peaks): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 5.39 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4)$, 3.59 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5$ ), $1.49 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{〔} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$. Data for 8A and 8B: $E_{1 / 2}=1.03 \mathrm{~V}$. IR (HATR): $1866\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv 0}\right), 2145\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}}\right), 2486$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$.
[TpRe(CO)(BuNC)(2,3- $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-4$-oxo-pent-2-eneal)] (8C and 8D). An NMR solution of complexes $\mathbf{8 A}$ and $\mathbf{8 B}$ was exposed to air and allowed to stand for several days. Two diastereomers were observed in a 5.5:1 $(\mathbf{8 C} \mathbf{8 D})$ ratio (major has ketone toward isonitrile). $\mathbf{8 C}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}{ }^{-}$ CN): $\delta 9.91$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ), $8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, 7.73 (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.65$ (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 3), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 2), 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.40 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tBu}) .8 \mathrm{D}$ (selected resonances): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $\delta 9.71$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC} 2), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tBu})$. Data for $\mathbf{8 C}$ and $\mathbf{8 D}: E_{1 / 2}=0.98 \mathrm{~V}$. IR (HATR): $1868\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv 0}\right), 2149\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}}\right), 2492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$.
$\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\left(2,3-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.\right.$-dimethyl-7-oxanorbornadiene-4,5-dicarboxylate)] (10C) and $\left[\mathrm{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\left(3,4-\eta^{2}-2-\right.\right.$ oxabicyclo-[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene-6,7-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester)] (10B and 10A). In a glovebox, $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\left(4,5-\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-furan $\left.)\right](9,0.050$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1 g of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Dimethyl acetylene dicarboxylate ( $0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.359 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.13$ equiv) was added, and the solution was transferred to a pressure tube. The tube was sealed and heated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h . The solvent was removed via nitrogen purge under vacuum. The resulting oil was dissolved in 3 mL of THF, and this solution was added to 50 mL of stirring hexanes. The resulting solution was filtered through a fine frit and washed with hexanes. The solid was dried in vacuo. Yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$. Three diastereomers were observed in a 18:1:10 ratio ( $\mathbf{1 0 C}: \mathbf{1 0 B}: 10 \mathrm{~A})$. 10C: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{CN}): \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{ImH}), 6.40(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ImH}), 6.30(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp})$, $6.24(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 1), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ Me ), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.94 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5$ ), 2.35 ppm $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 6) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 162.3(2 \times \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 152.4 (C2 and C3), 147-122 ( 6 Tp 3 and 5 positions, 3 MeIm peaks), 107.0, 106.8, 106.7 (Tp 4 positions), 87.8 (C4), 87.6 (C1), 64.8 (C5), 63.5 (C6), 52.5 (OMe), 52.3 (OMe), $34.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{NMe})(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ not seen). 10B (selected resonances): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta \sim 6.3$ (located by gHSQC, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 3$ ), 4.49 (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 1), 2.56 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $108.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C} 3) .10 \mathrm{~A}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $7.72(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}$ and TpH$), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, TpH ), 7.34 (s, br, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}$ ), 6.91 (t, br, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}), 6.63$ (s, br, 1H, ImH), 6.27 (t, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}$ ), 6.23 (t, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HC3), 5.06 (d, $J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC1}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5)$, 3.75 (s, 3H, NMe), 3.73 (s, br, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 3.66 ( s , br, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), $3.10 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 162.3(2$ $\times \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 152.4 ( C 6 and C 7 ), 147-122 ( 6 Tp 3 and 5 positions, 3 MeIm peaks), 108.7 (C3), 107.3, 107.2, 106.8 (Tp 4 positions), 79.9 (C1), 57.1 (C5), $52.5(\mathrm{OMe}), 52.3(\mathrm{OMe}), 47.6(\mathrm{C} 4), 34.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{NMe})$ ( $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ not seen). Data for 10C, 10B and 10A: $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.41$ (br), 1.33 V. IR (HATR): $1730\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 1815\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 2488 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$.
[TpRe(CO)(MeIm)(4,5- $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-2$-methylfuran)] (11). To a solution of $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-benzene $\left.)\right](1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of THF was added neat 2 -methylfuran ( $7.40 \mathrm{~g}, 90.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 50$ equiv). The resulting solution was stirred for 16 h . Hexanes ( 250 mL ) were added, and the slurry was filtered through a medium frit. The tan precipitate was washed with hexanes and dried in vacuo. Yield: $0.724 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$. Two diastereomers were observed in a 1.2:1 ratio, with the major having the oxygen of the heterocycle toward the pyrazole/CO quadrant (see Scheme 1). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, 8.17 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, 7.63 (s, br, 1 H , minor $\operatorname{ImH}$ ), $7.60(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major $\operatorname{ImH}$ ), 7.26 (d, $J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major $\operatorname{ImH}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, minor $\operatorname{ImH}$ ), 6.95 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5$ minor), 6.60 (t, $J=1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}$ major), 6.52 (t, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ImH}$ minor), 6.33 (d, $J=$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5$ major), $6.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.10(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{TpH}), 6.09(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.91(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 3$ minor $)$, 5.76 (s, br, 1H, HC3 major), 4.06 (s, br, 1H, HC4 major), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \times$ 3 H , NMe major and minor), 3.37 (m, br, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4$ minor), 2.17 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ major), $2.17 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ minor). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $\delta 198.4$ ( $\mathrm{C} \equiv$ O minor), 198.2 ( $\mathrm{C} \equiv$ O major), 150.7 ( C 2 minor), 150.4 (C2 major), 144.7, 144.3, 143.7, 141.4, 140.3, 140.3, 136.1, 135.8, 135.3, 134.9, 134.8 ( Tp 3 and 5 positions), 132.1 (2C), 132.05 (2C) (Im), 129.55, 128.93, 128.8 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), 122.0, 121.7 (Im), 111.4 (C5 minor), 111.2 (C5 major), 109.1 (C3 minor), 108.0 (C3 major), 106.4 (2C), 106.2 (2C), 106.1 (2C) (Tp 4 positions), 58.0 (C4 major), 57.4 ( C 4 minor), 34.3 (2C) (NMe major and minor), 13.7 ( Me minor), 13.6 ppm (Me major). $E_{1 / 2}=-0.07,0.91$ (quasireversible) V . IR (HATR): $1800\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}}\right), 2480 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\text {вн }}\right)$.
[TpRe(CO)(MeIm)(3,4- $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$-1-methyl-2-oxa-bicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene-6,7-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester)] (12B and 12A). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 g of THF was added dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate ( $0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.523 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.96$ equiv). The solution was stirred for 4 h , then added to 50 mL of stirring hexanes. The slurry was filtered through a fine frit and washed with hexanes. The tan solid was dried in vacuo. Yield: $32 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$. Two diastereomers were observed in a $1: 1$ ratio. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, 7.73 (dd, $J=2.42,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, \operatorname{ImH}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}$, ImH), $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$,
$7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.89$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}), 6.84(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}), 6.55(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ImH}), 6.34(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}), 6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.17$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.15(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{1 2 B}, \mathrm{HC} 3), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{HC} 3), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H, HC5), 3.95 (s, 1H, HC5), 3.74 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 3.74 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 3.72 ( s , $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.37$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{HC} 4), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1 2 B}, \mathrm{HC} 4), 1.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.86 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 200.1(2$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 163.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 163.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 162.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 162.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 150.6$, 150.2, 147.4, 147.1 (C6 and C7 for 12B and 12A), 146.0, 145.4, 144.5, $143.3,142.2,142.0,141.0,140.1,136.8,136.5,136.2$ (Tp 3 and 5 positions), $135.7,135.6(\mathrm{Im}), 132.1(\mathrm{Tp}), 132.0,129.3,122.5,121.9$ (Im), 108.6 (C3, 12B), 107.8 (C3, 12A), 107.2 (2C), 107.0, 106.8, 106.8, 106.6 (Tp 4 positions), 88.5 (C1), 88.4 (C1), 60.4 (C5), 60.3 (C5), $52.4(\mathrm{OMe}), 52.4(\mathrm{OMe}), 52.1(2 \mathrm{OMe}), 51.7(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathbf{1 2 A}), 50.3(\mathrm{C} 4$, 12B), $34.6(2 \mathrm{NMe}), 19.3(\mathrm{Me}), 19.2 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{Me}) . E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.31,1.42 \mathrm{~V}$. IR (HATR): $1803\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv 0}\right), 1730\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}}\right), 2488 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$.
$\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(C O)(M e I m)\left(4,5-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- 2 , 5 - d i m e t h y l f u r a n}\right)\right](13)$. To a solution of $\left[\operatorname{TpRe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{MeIm})\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-benzene $\left.)\right](0.308 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in approximately 5 mL of THF was added 2,5-dimethylfuran $(2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 26.7$ mmol, 50.9 equiv). The solution was allowed to stir for 17 h , at which point 300 mL of hexanes were added. The suspension was stirred for 15 min and filtered through a fine frit. The solid was washed with hexanes and dried in vacuo. Yield: $0.200 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}): \delta 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{ImH}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ImH}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ImH}), 6.31(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $6.25(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.08(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}$, br, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4$ ), $3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 3), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\delta 199.0(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 149.7(\mathrm{C} 2)$, 144.6, 143.8, 141.6, 141.4, 136.4, 136.0 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), 135.3, 132.0, 122.2 (Im), 112.8 (C3), 112.0 (C5), 107.1, 106.8, 106.5 (Tp 4 positions), $58.9(\mathrm{C} 4), 34.6(\mathrm{NMe}), 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.5 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{Me}) . E_{1 / 2}=$ $-0.08,0.95$ V. IR (HATR): $1794\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 2483 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Re}: \mathrm{C}, 39.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.00 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.51$. Found: C, 39.29; H, 3.99; N, 18.61.
[TpRe(CO)(MeIm)(3,4- $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene-6,7-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester)] (14). To a solution of compound $13(0.233 \mathrm{~g}, 0.385 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4.0 mL of THF was added dimethylacetylene dicarboxylate $(0.213 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.9$ equiv). The solution was stirred for 5 h and precipitated into 60 mL of stirring hexanes. The slurry was stirred for 5 min and filtered through a fine frit. The solid was washed with hexanes and dried in vacuo. Yield: $0.269 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$, $7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ImH}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.91(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ImH}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{ImH}), 6.27(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH}), 6.11(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TpH})$,
3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 5$ ), 3.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, NMe), 2.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} 4$ ), $1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.75 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $200.1(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 163.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 162.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 150.4, 148.2 (C6 and C7), 145.4, 143.1, 142.3, 141.3, 136.6, 136.2 (Tp 3 and 5 positions), 135.6, 132.2, $122.3(\mathrm{Im}), 112.0(\mathrm{C} 3), 107.0,106.8,106.7$ (Tp 4 positions), $87.8(\mathrm{C} 1), 61.7(\mathrm{C} 5), 53.2(\mathrm{OMe}), 52.4(\mathrm{C} 4), 52.1$ $(\mathrm{OMe}), 34.7(\mathrm{NMe}), 25.2(\mathrm{Me}), 19.0 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{Me}) . E_{1 / 2}=0.20$ (quasireversible); $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=1.02,1.29$ V. IR (HATR): $1730\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=0}\right), 1801\left(v_{\mathrm{C} \equiv 0}\right)$, $2485 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BN}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PRe}: \mathrm{C}, 41.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.04$; N, 14.99. Found: C, 41.92 ; H, 4.07 ; N, 14.63.

1,3-Dimethyl-2-oxa-bicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-3,6-diene-6,7-dicarboxylic Acid Dimethyl Ester (15). Compound 14 ( $0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 0.228 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 4 g of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. A solution of $\mathrm{AgOTf}(0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 0.470$ mmol, 2.1 equiv) and 2,6 -lutidine ( $0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.491 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) in 2 g of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added. The resulting solution was stirred overnight and filtered through a fine frit. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was removed by rotary evaporation, and the oil was chromatographed on a $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ silica gel preparatory TLC plate, which had been pretreated with $\mathrm{NMe}_{3}$, using $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluent. The desired band was cut out, extracted with acetone, and rotary evaporated to dryness to afford 23 mg $(43 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 5} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 4.84(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H} 4)\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Me}), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.51(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H} 5), 1.73\left(\mathrm{t}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.62$ ppm (s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 162.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 161.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 159.8 (C3), 150.4 (C7), 139.4 (C6), 98.2 (C4), 87.8 (C1), 55.9 (C5), $52.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.8 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS m/z Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : 239.0920. Found: 239.0920.

2,7-Dimethyloxepine-3,4-dicarboxylic Acid Dimethyl Ester (16). An NMR solution $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of complex 15 was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 100 h . During this time, the disappearance of 15 was observed, along with the appearance of peaks for compound 16. The yield of $\mathbf{1 6}$ was $70 \%$ based on an internal NMR standard. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 7.18$ (dd, $J=5.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 5.78$ (dd, $J=5.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), $3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.01 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{t}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 168.2$, 167.5, 161.9, $161.0\left(\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 7,2 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 137.22$ (C5), 129.2, 119.4 $(\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4), 112.8(\mathrm{C} 6), 52.6,52.5\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.9,20.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
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